	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/668,068 ; U9/667,575; O7/672	
	Examiner	76 Art Unit
	Amanda T Le	2634
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Amanda T Le.	(3) MR. 110A (5) M	AR MIURA
(2) JEFF. FILIPEK 41471	(3) MR. 110A (5) M (4) MR. SEKI (6) M	R. KANNO
Date of Interview: 5/27/04		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)X No.	
Claim(s) discussed: SEE ATTACHED SHEET		
Identification of prior art discussed: SEE APACIED SHEET		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
,		
	,	
	Amand	ale/
	) (1,000	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary

Paper No. 33

Examiner's signature, if required

09/667525

MARCH 27, 2004 INTERMEN W/ EXR. AMANDALE CLAIMS DISCUSSED: PROPOSED 19, ZI, \$ 27 ATTACHED APPLICATES POINTED OUT SUPPORT FOR CLAIMS TO OVERCOME 112, 1ST REJECTION, I.C., FIGS 61,62,84,174, columns 47, 48,57,58,59.

Ø

09/668068

CLAIMS DISCUSSED: PENDING CLAIM 19

PROZART DISCUSSED: FARIAS 4891806 \$ 001 5007088 AAPCICANTS ARGUED THAT COMBINATION OF FARIAS & COOL DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OBVIOUS COMBINATION THAT WOULD RESULT IN THE CLAIMED INVENTION INCLUDING MEDILEVEL USB MODOLATED SIGNALS, TEXUS EXCEDING MEVEL, UNIQUE WORD FOR SYNCH, MISE ARGUED THAT FARIAS (2 DIFFERENT MODILATIONS) AND OOI (UNIQUE CHORD) TEACH AWAY FROM EACH OTHER.

09/672946

CLAIMS DISCUSSED : PROPUSED CLAIM 40 APPLICAM POINTED OUT SUPPORT IS FOUND IN FIGURES 28, 84 \$ 174. & WILL PROVIDE MORE DETAILS IN THE RESPONSE.